

Kannada University Hampi, Vidyaranya-583276 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)


| Programme Name | M.A. Ph.D. |
|--|--------------------|
| Course Name | Kannada Literature |
| Course Type | Core /Elective |
| Number of students appeared for feedback | 18 (2016-17) |

| | Questions | | Options | Votes |
|----|--|----|--------------------------|-------|
| 1. | The Syllabus was | a) | Challenging | 2 |
| | | b) | Dull | 0 |
| | | c) | Adequate | 16 |
| | | d) | Inadequate | 0 |
| 2. | Your background for benefiting from the course | a) | More than adequate | 0 |
| | was | b) | Just adequate | 18 |
| | | c) | In adequate | 0 |
| | | d) | Cannot say | 0 |
| 3. | Was the Course conceptually difficult to | a) | Easy | 9 |
| | understand? | b) | Manageable | 9 |
| | | c) | Difficult | 0 |
| | | d) | Very Difficult | 0 |
| 4. | How much of the syllabus was covered in class | a) | 85 to 100% | 4 |
| | | b) | 70 to 85% | 14 |
| | | c) | 55 to 70 % | 0 |
| | | d) | Less than 55% | 0 |
| 5. | What is your opinion about the library materials | a) | Excellent | 17 |
| | for the course? | b) | adequate | 1 |
| | | c) | in adequate | 0 |
| | | d) | Very poor | 0 |
| 6. | Were you able to get the prescribed readings? | a) | Easily | 16 |
| | | b) | With difficulty | 2 |
| | | c) | Not at all | 0 |
| | | d) | With great difficulty | 0 |
| 7. | Did the teacher encourage student participation | a) | Yes | 16 |
| | in class? | b) | Attempted | 0 |
| | | c) | Not at all | 0 |
| | | d) | sometimes | 2 |
| 8. | if yes, which of the following methods were | a) | Encouraged questions | 0 |
| | used? | b) | Discussion in class | 17 |
| | | c) | Discussion outside class | 0 |
| | | d) | Discussion individually | 1 |
| 9. | Did the internal assessment work | a) | Fairly | 16 |
| | | b) | Regularly | 2 |
| | | c) | Helpfully | 0 |
| | | d) | Cannot say | 0 |

| 10. | What effect do you think the internal assessment | a) | Improve it | 14 |
|-----|---|----|-----------------|----|
| | will have on your course grade? | b) | Lower it | 0 |
| | , | c) | No effect | 0 |
| | | ď) | Cannot say | 4 |
| | | | | |
| 11. | Were your assignments discussed with you? | a) | Yes, fully | 16 |
| | | b) | Yes, partly | 0 |
| | | c) | No | 0 |
| | | d) | Sometimes | 2 |
| 12. | Were you provided with a course and lecture | a) | Yes | 18 |
| | outline at the beginning? | b) | No | 0 |
| 13. | Was it (course and lecture outline at the | a) | Yes | 16 |
| | beginning) helpful? | b) | No | 2 |
| | | | | |
| 14. | Was it (course and lecture outline at the | a) | Yes | 18 |
| | beginning) followed? | b) | No | 0 |
| 15. | Did you visit industries, laboratories, banks and | a) | Yes, frequently | 14 |
| | outside universities? | b) | Sometimes | 2 |
| | | c) | No | 0 |
| | | d) | Yes, rarely | 2 |

2016-17

M.A. Ph.D. Kannada Literature - Students- 2016-17



Director IQAC, Kannada University, Hampi




Kannada University Hampi, Vidyaranya-583276 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)

| | / |
|--|-----------------|
| Programme Name | M.A. Ph.D. |
| Course Name | Women's Studies |
| Course Type | Core /Elective |
| Number of students appeared for feedback | 6 (2016-17) |

| | Questions | Options | Votes |
|----|--|-----------------------------|-------|
| 1. | The Syllabus was | a) Challenging | 4 |
| | | b) Dull | 0 |
| | | c) Adequate | 2 |
| | | d) Inadequate | 0 |
| 2. | Your background for benefiting from the course | a) More than adequate | 0 |
| | was | b) Just adequate | 5 |
| | | c) In adequate | 0 |
| | | d) Cannot say | 1 |
| 3. | Was the Course conceptually difficult to | a) Easy | 1 |
| | understand? | b) Manageable | 5 |
| | | c) Difficult | 0 |
| | | d) Very Difficult | 0 |
| 4. | How much of the syllabus was covered in class | a) 85 to 100% | 3 |
| | | b) 70 to 85% | 3 |
| | | c) 55 to 70 % | 0 |
| | | d) Less than 55% | 0 |
| 5. | What is your opinion about the library materials | a) Excellent | 5 |
| | for the course? | b) adequate | 1 |
| | | c) in adequate | 0 |
| | | d) Very poor | 0 |
| 6. | Were you able to get the prescribed readings? | a) Easily | 4 |
| | | b) With difficulty | 2 |
| | | c) Not at all | 0 |
| | | d) With great difficulty | 0 |
| 7. | Did the teacher encourage student participation | a) Yes | 5 |
| | in class? | b) Attempted | 0 |
| | | c) Not at all | 0 |
| | | d) sometimes | 1 |
| 8. | if yes, which of the following methods were | a) Encouraged questions | 0 |
| | used? | b) Discussion in class | 5 |
| | | c) Discussion outside class | 0 |
| | | d) Discussion individually | 1 |
| 9. | Did the internal assessment work | a) Fairly | 1 |
| | | b) Regularly | 5 |
| | | c) Helpfully | 0 |
| | | d) Cannot say | 0 |

| 10. | What effect do you think the internal assessment | a) Improve it | 5 |
|-----|---|--------------------|---|
| | will have on your course grade? | b) Lower it | 0 |
| | | c) No effect | 0 |
| | | d) Cannot say | 1 |
| | | | |
| 11. | Were your assignments discussed with you? | a) Yes, fully | 4 |
| | | b) Yes, partly | 0 |
| | | c) No | 0 |
| | | d) Sometimes | 2 |
| 12. | Were you provided with a course and lecture | a) Yes | 5 |
| | outline at the beginning? | b) b) No | 1 |
| 13. | Was it (course and lecture outline at the | a) Yes | 5 |
| | beginning) helpful? | b) No | 1 |
| | | | |
| 14. | Was it (course and lecture outline at the | a) Yes | 6 |
| | beginning) followed? | b) No | 0 |
| 15. | Did you visit industries, laboratories, banks and | a) Yes, frequently | 2 |
| | outside universities? | b) Sometimes | 4 |
| | | c) No | 0 |
| | | d) Yes, rarely | 0 |





Director IQAC, Kannada University, Hampi